

1 The Hon. John H. Chun
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 PARADISE SHAW DREIA WILLIAMS,

14 Defendant

10 NO. CR23-090-JHC

13 **ORDER OF FORFEITURE**

16
17 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Consolidation
18 Motion for Preliminary Order of Forfeiture and Order of Forfeiture (the "Motion"), in
19 which the United States moved for an Order of Forfeiture forfeiting, to the United States,
20 Defendant Paradise Shaw Dreia Williams' interest in a sum of money (also known as a
21 forfeiture money judgment) in the amount of \$2,023,104 representing a portion of the
22 proceeds Defendant Williams obtained from her commission of Wire Fraud, in violation
23 of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

24 The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers
25 and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is
26 appropriate because:

- 1 • The proceeds of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343, are forfeitable
2 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. 2461(c);
3 • In the Plea Agreement she entered on December 11, 2023, Defendant Williams
4 agreed to forfeiture her interest in the above-referenced sum of money pursuant to
5 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. 2461(c), as it reflects proceeds that
6 she obtained from her commission of Wire Fraud and to which she entered a guilty
7 plea (Dkt. No. 142, ¶ 13);
8 • The forfeiture of this sum of money is personal to Defendant Williams and,
9 pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure (“Fed. R. Crim. P.”) 32.2(c)(1), no
10 third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

11
12 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

13 1) Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. 2461(c), and her
14 Plea Agreement, Defendant Williams’ interest in a sum of money in the amount of
15 \$2,023,104 is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

16 2) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) – (B), this Order will become
17 final as to the Defendant Williams at the time she is sentenced; it will be made part of the
18 sentence; and, it will be included in the judgment;

19 3) No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party
20 other than the United States;

21 4) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this Order forfeiting
22 the sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order,
23 at any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed \$2,023,104;

24 5) Forfeiture of the sum of money is separate and distinct from the restitution
25 that is ordered in this case; and

6) The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing this Order, as necessary.

DATED this 26th day of February, 2024.

John H. Chun
THE HON. JOHN H. CHUN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

s/Jehiel I. Baer
JEHIEL I. BAER
Assistant United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 553-2242
Fax: 206-553-6934
Jehiel.Baer@usdoj.gov